

Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

September 2, 2024

DIVISION MEMORANDUM

No. 564, s. 2024

ADMINISTRATION OF QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS FOR THE **SCHOOL YEAR 2024-2025**

To: Assistant Schools Division Superintendents Chief Education Supervisors Education Program Supervisors Public Schools District Supervisors Public Secondary/ Elementary Principals/ School Heads All Others Concerned

1. In reference to DepEd Order No. 9, s. 2024, titled "Implementing Guidelines on the School Calendar and Activities for the School Year 2024-2025", DepEd Order No. 10, s. 2024, titled "Policy Guidelines on the Implementation of the MATATAG Curriculum", and DepEd Order No. 8, s. 2015, titled "Policy Guidelines on Classroom Assessment for the K to 12 Basic Education Program", this Office reminds all public elementary and secondary schools in the division to conduct the quarterly examinations on the following dates:

First Quarterly Examination:

September 23-24, 2024

Second Quarterly Examination: December 2-3, 2024 Third Quarterly Examination:

February 7 and 10, 2025

Fourth Quarterly Examination: April 7-8, 2025

- 2. As stipulated in the Policy Guidelines on Classroom Assessment for the K to 12 Basic Education Program, quarterly assessment is one of the components of summative assessment that measures student learning at the end of the quarter. These assessments may take the form of objective tests, performance-based assessments, or a combination of both. Their purpose is to synthesize the skills, concepts, and values learned throughout the quarter. Quarterly assessments are administered once, at the end of each quarter.
- 3. Anent to this, a unified quarterly examination shall be used division-wide for Grades 1, 4, and 7 under the MATATAG Curriculum. The test construction shall be facilitated by the Education Program Supervisor (EPS) per learning area. A Quarterly Examination Team per learning area shall be organized for this purpose. The team composed of division test constructors and validators shall be selected by the EPS per learning area. Meanwhile, quarterly examinations for the remaining grade levels and all subjects in senior high school shall be teachermade.
- 4. In adherence to DepEd Order No. 29, s. 2017, titled "Policy Guidelines on System Assessment in the K to 12 Basic Education Program", and in response to the







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404

Email Address: pampanga@deped.gov.ph Website: www.depedpampanga.ph



Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

challenges posed by the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) results, teachers are encouraged to design quarterly assessments that incorporate the Structure of Observed Learning Outcomes (SOLO) Taxonomy and PISA-like assessments.

5. The number of items and time allotment per learning area are as follows:

Grade Level	Total Number of Items	Time Allotment
Grades 1-3	30	45 mins
Grade 4	40	50 mins
Grades 5-6	50	60 mins
Grades 7-10	50	60 mins
Grades 11-12	50	60 mins

- 6. The language of the assessment tools shall be English, Filipino, and Kapampangan depending on the medium of instruction of the learning area.
- 7. The Division Learning Resource Management and Development Section (LRMDS) Supervisor shall be provided a Google Drive link containing the files for the quarterly examinations, Table of Specifications (TOS), and answer keys for all learning areas in Grades 1, 4, and 7. The link shall be shared to all school heads and teachers.
- 8. Schools are discouraged from modifying the technical layout of the quarterly examinations, including paper size, margins, font size, font style, and number of pages.
- 9. School heads, head teachers, and master teachers are requested to quality assure the quarterly examinations prepared by their teachers for other grade levels before printing. They are tasked to accomplish one (1) checklist per teacher, regardless of the number of quarterly examinations prepared.
- 10. Schools shall print and reproduce the quarterly examinations. Printing expenses shall be charged to the School Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE) and other local funds subject to the usual accounting and auditing rules.
- 11. The conversion of quarterly examinations into a computer-based format is highly encouraged for schools with the necessary capacity and resources.
- 12. School heads are advised to impose mechanisms for the proper administration of quarterly examinations, ensuring that learners follow the time allotment per grade level and key stage.
- 13. The field is enjoined to keep the integrity of the quarterly examinations. The Division shall adopt Section 13 of DepEd Order No. 55, s. 2016 in dealing with any form of dishonesty. The following acts are deemed violation/infraction of security pertaining to examinations:
 - Supplying answers to examinees







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

- Cheating
- Plagiarizing
- Capturing of items through electronic gadgets
- Distribution and posting of photos of the test in any form of media
- Opening of test materials prior to testing schedule
- Late submission of test/answer sheets
- Tampering of examinees' answer sheets
- Infringement of copyright
- Failure to closely monitor test procedures resulting in test irregularities
- Using the division-made test in review sessions
- 14. Should there be any question or query regarding this activity, particularly about the quarterly examinations, TOS, answer keys, or submission of results, please contact the concerned Education Program Supervisor (EPS) at the email address provided below.

Learning Area	EPS In-Charge	Email Address
Filipino	Cherry G. Vinluan, EdD	cherry.vinluan001@deped.gov.ph
English	June D. Cunanan	june.cunanan001@deped.gov.ph
Mathematics	Garry L. Pangan, PhD	garry.pangan001@deped.gov.ph
Science	Ana Marie B. Castañeda, EdD	ana.castaneda003@deped.gov.ph
AP	Vilma T. Arcilla	vilma.arcilla001@deped.gov.ph
EPP/TLE	Roderick G. Soriano	roderick.soriano@deped.gov.ph
MAPEH	Mary Anne Bernadette M. Samson	mary.samson002@deped.gov.ph
ESP	Leendel Ivy Y. Capulong, PhD	leendelivy.capulong@deped.gov.ph

- 15. Test results can be used to design appropriate intervention strategies and serve as a reference for research.
- 16. Please see Enclosure for the (1) List of Assigned Monitoring Supervisors, (2) Schedule for the Administration of Quarterly Examinations, (3) Technical Layout of the Quarterly Examination, (4) Prescribed Format for the Table of Specifications (TOS), (5) Checklist for the Evaluation of Teacher-Made Quarterly Examinations, and (6) Monitoring Tool for the Administration of Quarterly Examinations. The results should be encoded into a Google Sheet provided by the Division Testing Coordinator for consolidation.
- 17. This Memorandum shall serve as the **official travel order authority** of all involved personnel relative to this activity.

18. Immediate and wide dissemination of this Memorandum is earnestly desired.

SEP 0 3 2024

ROMEO M. ALIP, PhD, CESO V Schools Division Superintendent





Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 1 to Division Memorandum No. ___, s. 2024

LIST OF ASSIGNED MONITORING SUPERVISORS FOR THE ADMINISTRATION
OF QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS FOR SY 2024 - 2025

C	luster/ District	LY EXAMINATIONS FOR SY 2024 – 2 Monitoring Te	
	Arayat East	Marites S. Mallari	Ana Marie B.
Cluster I	Arayat West	Irene C. Lulu	Castaneda, EdD
Cluster I	Magalang North	Ruby M. Jimenez, PhD	
	Magalang South	Andrea T. Gutierrez, PhD, LLB	Nerisse Nicole B. Torres
	Porac East	Bernadette C. Tiongco, PhD	
Cluster II	Porac West	Abelardo I. Garcia	Mary Anne
Cluster II	Guagua East	Emyleen C. Samonte, EdD	Bernadette M. Samson
Guagua West Ma. Rita Alicia S. A.		Ma. Rita Alicia S. Alipio	Danison
			Roderick G.
	Santa Rita	Emily R. Maninang	Soriano
	Lubao North	Randy N. Batac	
Cluster III	Lubao East	Gloria M. Pineda, EdD	Vilma T. Arcilla
Cluster III	Lubao West	Raquel R. Yuzon, EdD	Viiiia I. Alcilla
	Floridablanca East	Ignacia P. Quiros	Michelle D. Mejia
	Floridablanca West	Bernabeth B. Manio, PhD	
	Bacolor North	Philip T. Paulino, EdD	
	Bacolor South	Lolita L. Molano, PhD	Celia R.
Cluster IV	Mexico West	Delapaz T. Waje, EdD	Lacanlale, PhD
	Mexico North	Bernadette V. Lerit, PhD	
	Mexico South	Edna L. Pineda	June D. Cunanan
	Sta Ana	Eloida N. Labrador	
	San Luis	Madilyn V. Ayson, EdD	Arceli S. Lopez,
Cluster V	Candaba East	Constancio L. Rodriguez	PhD
Cluster v	Candaba West	Elizer M. Dimarucut, EdD	I D 17.1
	Candaba North	Nenita G. Salas, EdD	Jane P. Valencia, EdD
Q1	Apalit	Marlene G. Manansala, EdD	Garry L. Pangan,
Cluster VI	Sto Tomas	Anna Liza I. Pineda	PhD
	Minalin	Edna L. Severo, PhD	T 117
	San Simon	Julieta V. Sagum	Leendel Ivy Y. Capulong, PhD
	Masantol North	Renato B. Canilao	
Cluster VII	Masantol South	Aurelio B. Canilao	Cherry G. Vinluan, EdD
V 11	Macabebe East	Alvin G. Gozun, EdD	viiiidali, EdD
	Macabebe West	Nairo S. Olalia, EdD	Ruel F. Bondoc



î ____î





Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 2 to Division Memorandum No. ____, s. 2024

SCHEDULE FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS

Grade Level	Day 1	Day 2
Grade 1	Language Reading and Literacy GMRC	Mathematics Makabansa
Grades 2-10	Filipino English EPP/TLE ESP	Mathematics Science Araling Panlipunan MAPEH
Grade 11	5 Subjects (Core, Applied, Specialized or Elective)	4 Subjects (Core, Applied, Specialized or Elective)
Grade 12	4 Subjects (Core, Applied, Specialized or Elective)	4 Subjects (Core, Applied, Specialized or Elective)



, i _____i





Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P) Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404

Email Address: pampanga@deped.gov.ph
Website: www.depedpampanga.ph



Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 3 to Division Memorandum No. ____, s. 2024

TECHNICAL LAYOUT OF THE QUARTERLY EXAMINATION

1. The total number of items per grade level is as follows:

Grades 1 - 3: **30 items**

Grade 4: 40 items

Grades 5 - 6: **50 items**

Grades 7 - 12: 50 items

- 2. Paper Size 8.5" x 13"
- 3. Margin top 0.75, below 0.75, right and left margin 0.5
- 4. Font Size/Style

Grades 1 - 3: 14 font-size; font-style: Century Gothic

Grade 4: 12 font-size; font-style: Arial Grades 5 - 6: 11 font-size; font-style: Arial Grades 7 - 12: 11 font-size; font-style: Arial

- 5. Use single space after each item for better readability.
- 6. Use single column for the choices:

One column for longer choices (phrases, sentences, and photos or graphics). Choices must be arranged in any of the following format:

Alphabetical length (shorter to longer or vice versa)

Ascending and descending/ Lesser to Greater or vice versa for figures

- 7. Use capital letters for the choices.
- 8. Ensure consistency and parallelism in the structure and layout of the choices and stems.
- 9. When blanks (_____) are used, they must be of the same length to ensure uniformity and to avoid giving clues to the learners.
- 10. Here is a sample format for the header:



Republic of the Philippines

Department of Education

REGION III

SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

FIRST QUARTER EXAMINATION IN MATHEMATICS 7

School Year 2024-2025

Name: ________Grade & Section: ______

Date: _____







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404

Email Address: pampanga@deped.gov.ph
Website: www.depedpampanga.ph



Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 4 to Division Memorandum No. ___, s. 2024

PRESCRIBED FORMAT FOR THE TABLE OF SPECIFICATIONS (TOS)

					Bloon	n's Tax	onom	y (Cogn	itive L	evel
					Ea (30	sy	Ave	rage 0%)	Diffi (10	cult
No.	Learning Competencies	No. of Days Taught	Percentage (%)	No. of Items	Remembering	Understanding	Applying	Analyzing	Evaluating	Creating
1					 -					
2										
3										
4										
5										
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
	Total									







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 5 to Division Memorandum No. ___, s. 2024

CHECKLIST FOR THE EVALUATION OF TEACHER-MADE QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS

Directions: Please put a check mark (\checkmark) on the column that corresponds to your observation, review, or evaluation. Indicate your action taken on the Non-Evident Items under the Remarks column.

ITEMS	EVIDENT	NOT EVIDENT	REMARKS
A. General Item Writing (Procedural)			
1. The teacher prepared the Table of			
Specifications (TOS) before writing the test items.			
2. The TOS was a two-way grid and followed the			
prescribed format shared by the division.			
3. The test items adhered to the 30-60-10 rule			
(30% easy, 60% average, and 10% difficult).			
4. The teacher used either the "best answer" or			
"correct answer" format.			
5. The teacher avoided complex multiple-choice			
formats (Type K) such as "A and D," "A and C," "All			
of the above," "None of the above," and "A, B, and			
C."			
6. The items were formatted vertically, not			
horizontally.			
7. The items were constructed with consistent			
attention to good grammar, punctuation, and			
spelling.			
8. The teacher minimized examinee reading time			
by carefully phrasing each item.			
9. The teacher avoided tricky items that might			
mislead or deceive examinees into answering			
incorrectly.			
B. General Item Writing (Content Concerns)			
1. Based on the Most Essential Learning			
Competencies of the K to 12 Curriculum.			
2. Focused on a single problem.			
3. Kept the vocabulary consistent with the			
examinees' level of understanding.			
4. Avoided cuing one item with another and			
ensured that items were independent of each			
other.			
5. Used the author's examples as a basis for			
developing items.			
6. Avoided textbook and verbatim phrasing when			
developing items.			







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



Department of Education REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

8. Used multiple-choice questions to measure higher-level thinking. 9. Tested for significant material and avoided trivial material. C. Stem Construction 1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form. 2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem. 3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.	7. Avoided items based on opinions.	
9. Tested for significant material and avoided trivial material. C. Stem Construction 1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form. 2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem. 3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	8. Used multiple-choice questions to measure	
trivial material. C. Stem Construction 1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form. 2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem. 3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	higher-level thinking.	
C. Stem Construction 1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form. 2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem. 3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wordinglets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	9. Tested for significant material and avoided	
1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form. 2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem. 3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.	trivial material.	
completion form. 2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem. 3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	C. Stem Construction	
2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem. 3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	1. Stated the stem in either question or	
leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem. 3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	completion form.	
stem. 3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	2. When using the completion format, did not	
3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the	
clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
exactly what is being asked. 4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	No. 2. Whiteholder College Col	
4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.		
negative phrasing. 5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.		
5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
phrasing in the stem. D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	negative phrasing.	
D. General Option Development 1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
are desirable. 2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
2. Placed options in logical or numerical order. 3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	MANAGE AND	
overlap. 4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
homogeneous in content. 5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	1	
options. 6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
6. Phrased options positively, not negatively. 7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
clues (overly specific or overly general). 8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
grammatical construction. 9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
"never" and "always." E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
E. Correct Option Development 1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
position for a set of items. 2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
2. Ensured that there is only one correct option. F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
F. Distractor Development 1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
distractors. 2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.		
into distractors.		
into distractors.		
0. 4		
3. Avoided technically phrased distractors.	3. Avoided technically phrased distractors.	







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 Email Address: pampanga@deped.gov.ph



Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

	4.	Used	familiar	yet	incorrect	phrases	as		
-	dis	tractor	s.			-			
	5.	Used 1	true state	ments	s that do	not corre	ctly		
The same of the same	ans	swer th	e item.						

Note: This checklist was adapted from item writing guidelines (nova.edu).

		1.01	
	Printed Name and	l Signature	
Designation (School Head, Head	Геасher, or Ma	ster Teache
	Date		
	Date		
	Date		
Conforme:	Date		
Conforme:	Date		









Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 6 to Division Memorandum No. ___, s. 2024

MONITORING TOOL FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS

Testing Program:	FIRST	QUARTER	EXAMINATIONS
School:			
District/Cluster:			

Grade Level	Total No. of Enrolment			Actual Test Takers				t take uarter	Reasons for not taking the First Quarter Examination	
	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	
Grade 1										
Grade 2										
Grade 3										
Grade 4										
Grade 5										
Grade 6										
Grade 7					and a second and a second as a					
Grade 8										
Grade 9										
Grade 10										
Grade 11										
Grade 12										

Legend: M-Male, F-Female, T-Total

Issues and Concerns

A. Assessment Tools

Issues and Concerns	Action Taken			

B. Printing and Reproduction of Assessment Tools

Issues and Concerns	Action Taken







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



Department of Education

REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

C. Actual Conduct of the First Quarter Examination

Issues and Concerns	Action Taken
100	
omments/Suggestions/Recommendation	is to improve the test administration:
onitored by:	
	· 000 · 1
rinted Name and Signature of the Monito	oring Official
Designation	
Date	
onforme:	
omorme.	







Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 Email Address: pampanga@deped.gov.ph Website: www.depedpampanga.ph