

#### Department of Education

### REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

June 19, 2025

#### **DIVISION MEMORANDUM**

No. 384, s. 2025

#### SCHEDULE FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF DIVISION PRE-TEST AND POST-TEST ASSESSMENTS IN ALL LEARNING AREAS FOR GRADES 1-10 AND THE QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2025-2026

To: Assistant Schools Division Superintendents
Chief Education Supervisors
Education Program Supervisors
Public Schools District Supervisors
Public Secondary/ Elementary Principals/ School Heads
All Others Concerned

1. Pursuant to **DepEd Order No. 12, s. 2025**, entitled "Multi-Year Implementing Guidelines on the School Calendar and Activities", **DepEd Order No. 10, s. 2024**, entitled "Policy Guidelines on the Implementation of the MATATAG Curriculum", and **DepEd Order No. 8, s. 2015**, entitled "Policy Guidelines on Classroom Assessment for the K to 12 Basic Education Program", this Office reiterates the directive for all public elementary and secondary schools in the division to administer the quarterly examinations on the following dates:

First Quarterly Examination: Second Quarterly Examination: Third Quarterly Examination: Fourth Quarterly Examination: August 20 & 22, 2025 October 23-24, 2025 January 22-23, 2026 March 19-20, 2026

- 2. As stipulated in the Policy Guidelines on Classroom Assessment for the K to 12 Basic Education Program, quarterly assessment is one of the components of summative assessment that measures student learning at the end of the quarter. These assessments may take the form of objective tests, performance-based assessments, or a combination of both. Their purpose is to synthesize the skills, concepts, and values learned throughout the quarter. Quarterly assessments are administered once, at the end of each quarter.
- 3. In line with this, a unified quarterly examination shall be administered division-wide for **Grades 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, and 8** under the Revised Curriculum. The test construction shall be facilitated by the Education Program Supervisor (EPS) assigned to each learning area. A Quarterly Examination Team per learning area shall be organized for this purpose. The team, composed of division test constructors and validators, shall be selected by the respective EPS. Meanwhile, the quarterly examinations for the remaining grade levels and all subjects in Senior High School shall be teacher-made.







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

**Telephone No.:** (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



#### Department of Education

### REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

- 4. Likewise, unified pre-test and post-test assessments shall be administered in all public elementary and secondary schools in the division. The Division Pre-Test Assessment is scheduled on **July 17–18**, **2025**, while the Post-Test Assessment shall be conducted on **March 23–24**, **2026**.
- 5. In adherence to **DepEd Order No. 29, s. 2017**, titled "Policy Guidelines on System Assessment in the K to 12 Basic Education Program", and in response to the challenges posed by the Programme for International Student Assessment (PISA) results, teachers are encouraged to design quarterly assessments that incorporate the Structure of Observed Learning Outcomes (SOLO) Taxonomy and PISA-like assessments.
- 6. The number of items and time allotment per learning area are as follows:

Grade Level	Total Number of Items	<b>Time Allotment</b>		
Grades 1-3	30	45 mins		
Grade 4	40	50 mins		
Grades 5-6	50	60 mins		
Grades 7-10	50	60 mins		
Grades 11-12	50	60 mins		

- 7. The language of the assessment tools shall be English, Filipino, and Kapampangan depending on the medium of instruction of the learning area.
- 8. The Division Learning Resource Management and Development System (LRMDS) Supervisor shall provide the Google Drive link containing the files for the pre-test and post-test assessments, quarterly examinations, Table of Specifications (TOS), and answer keys. This link shall be shared with all school heads, school testing coordinators, and teachers.
- 9. Schools are strongly discouraged from modifying the technical layout of the pretest and post-test assessments, as well as the quarterly examinations. This includes changes to paper size, margins, font size, font style, and number of pages.
- 10. School heads, head teachers, and master teachers are requested to quality assure the quarterly examinations prepared by their teachers for other grade levels before printing. They are tasked to accomplish one (1) checklist per teacher, regardless of the number of quarterly examinations prepared.
- 11. Schools shall be responsible for the printing and reproduction of the pre-test and post-test assessments, as well as the quarterly examinations. Printing expenses shall be charged to the School Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE) or other local funds, subject to the usual accounting and auditing rules and regulations.







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404

Email Address: pampanga@deped.gov.ph Website: www.depedpampanga.ph



#### Department of Education

### REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

- 12. The conversion of assessment tools into a computer-based format is highly encouraged for schools with the necessary resources and technical capacity.
- 13. School heads are advised to implement mechanisms to ensure the proper administration of the pre-test and post-test assessments, as well as the quarterly examinations, and to ensure that learners observe the prescribed time allotment per grade level and key stage.
- 14. The field is enjoined to keep the integrity of the quarterly examinations. The Division shall adopt **Section 13** of **DepEd Order No. 55, s. 2016** in dealing with any form of dishonesty. The following acts are deemed violation/infraction of security pertaining to examinations:
  - Supplying answers to examinees
  - Cheating
  - Plagiarizing
  - Capturing of items through electronic gadgets
  - · Distribution and posting of photos of the test in any form of media
  - · Opening of test materials prior to testing schedule
  - Late submission of test/answer sheets
  - · Tampering of examinees' answer sheets
  - · Infringement of copyright
  - Failure to closely monitor test procedures resulting in test irregularities
  - Using the division-made test in review sessions
- 15. Should there be any question or query regarding this activity, particularly about the pre-test and post-test assessments, quarterly examinations, TOS, answer keys, or submission of results, please contact the concerned Education Program Supervisor (EPS) at the email address provided below.

Learning Area	EPS In-Charge	Email Address
Filipino	Cherry G. Vinluan, EdD	cherry.vinluan001@deped.gov.ph
English	June D. Cunanan	june.cunanan001@deped.gov.ph
Mathematics	Garry L. Pangan, PhD	garry.pangan001@deped.gov.ph
Science	Ana Marie B. Castañeda, EdD	ana.castaneda003@deped.gov.ph
AP	Vilma T. Arcilla	vilma.arcilla001@deped.gov.ph
EPP/TLE	Garry I. Dela Cruz, PhD	garry.delacruz001@deped.gov.ph
MAPEH	Mary Anne Bernadette M. Samson	mary.samson002@deped.gov.ph
ESP	Leendel Ivy Y. Capulong, PhD	leendelivy.capulong@deped.gov.ph

- 16. Test results may be used to design appropriate intervention strategies and serve as references for research purposes.
- 17. Please see the enclosure for the following: (1) List of Assigned Monitoring Supervisors, (2) Schedule for the Administration of the Pre-Test and Post-Test Assessments and Quarterly Examinations, (3) Technical Layout of the Quarterly Examination, (4) Prescribed Format for the Table of Specifications (TOS), (5)







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

**Telephone No.:** (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



#### Department of Education

REGION III
SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Checklist for the Evaluation of Teacher-Made Quarterly Examinations, and (6) Monitoring Tool for the Administration of the Pre-Test and Post-Test Assessments and Quarterly Examinations. All results shall be encoded in the Google Sheet provided by the Division Testing Coordinator for consolidation.

- 18. This Memorandum shall serve as the **official travel order** for all personnel involved in this activity.
- 19. Immediate and wide dissemination of this Memorandum is highly encouraged.

ROMEO M. ALIP, PhD, CESO V Schools Division Superintendent

000000055234

JUN 2 3 2025







**Telephone No.:** (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



### Department of Education

### REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 1 to Division Memorandum No. \_\_\_, s. 2025

# LIST OF ASSIGNED MONITORING SUPERVISORS FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE PRE-TEST AND POST-TEST ASSESSMENTS AND QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS FOR SY 2025-2026

01	ster/ District	INATIONS FOR SY 2025-2026  Monitoring Tea	Princess Maylene
Ciu	Arayat East	Marites S. Mallari	M. Maniacop,
-	Arayat West	Irene C. Lulu	EdD
	Magalang North	Ruby M. Jimenez, PhD	
		Andrea T. Gutierrez, PhD, LLB	Ruel F. Bondoc
	Magalang South	Bernadette C. Tiongco, PhD	A
	Porac East	Abelardo I. Garcia	Arceli S. Lopez, PhD
	Porac West	Emyleen C. Samonte, EdD	
Cluster II	Guagua East	Ma. Rita Alicia S. Alipio	Jane P. Valencia,
	Guagua West	Donfermin M. Mercado	EdD
	Sasmuan	Emily R. Maninang	15 Ammo
	Santa Rita	Randy N. Batac	Mary Anne Bernadette M.
	Lubao North	Gloria M. Pineda, EdD	Samson
Cluster III	Lubao East	Raquel R. Yuzon, EdD	
Cluster III	Lubao West	Ignacia P. Quiros	Garry I. Dela
	Floridablanca East	Bernabeth B. Manio, PhD	Cruz, PhD
	Floridablanca West	Philip T. Paulino, EdD	
	Bacolor North	Lolita L. Molano, PhD	Celia R.
	Bacolor South	Delapaz T. Waje, EdD	Lacanlale, PhD
Cluster IV	Mexico West	Bernadette V. Lerit, PhD	June D. Cunanan
	Mexico North	Edna L. Pineda	Julie D. Carra
	Mexico South	Eloida N. Labrador	T. Danger
	Sta Ana	Madilyn V. Ayson, EdD	Garry L. Pangan, PhD
	San Luis	Constancio L. Rodriguez	TIID
Cluster \	Candaba East	Elizer M. Dimarucut, EdD	Leendel Ivy Y.
Claster	Calidaba	Emeliza R. Sotto, EdD	Capulong, PhD
	Candaba North	Marlene G. Manansala, EdD	Vilma T. Arcilla
	Apalit	Anna Liza I. Pineda	VIIIIa 1. In cina
Cluster VI	Sto Tomas	Edna L. Severo, PhD	Michelle D. Meji
	Williami	Nenita G. Salas, EdD	
	San Simon	Renato B. Canilao	Ana Marie B. Castaneda, EdI
G1	Masantol North	Aurelio B. Canilao	Castaneda, Edi
Cluste	112000	Alvin G. Gozun, EdD	Nerisse Nicole E
VII	Macabebe East	Nairo S. Olalia, EdD	Torres
	Macabebe West	Hairo S. Caran	







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 Email Address: pampanga@deped.gov.ph



### Department of Education

### REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 2 to Division Memorandum No. \_\_\_, s. 2025

## SCHEDULE FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE PRE-TEST AND POST-TEST ASSESSMENTS AND QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS

	Day 1	Day 2
Grade Level Grade 1	Language Reading and Literacy GMRC	Mathematics Makabansa
Grade 2	Filipino English GMRC	Mathematics Makabansa
Grade 3	Filipino English GMRC	Mathematics Makabansa Science
Grades 4-10	Filipino English EPP/TLE GMRC/Values	Mathematics Science Araling Panlipunan MAPEH
Grade 11	Education/ESP Core and Elective Subjects	Core and Elective Subjects
Grade 12	4 Subjects (Core, Applied, Specialized or Elective)	4 Subjects (Core, Applied Specialized or Elective)







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)



### Department of Education

### SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 3 to Division Memorandum No. \_\_\_, s. 2025

### TECHNICAL LAYOUT OF THE QUARTERLY EXAMINATION

1. The total number of items per	grade	level	is	as	follows:
----------------------------------	-------	-------	----	----	----------

Grades 1 - 3: 30 items

Grade 4: 40 items

Grades 5 - 6: 50 items

Grades 7 - 12: **50 items** 

- 2. Paper Size 8.5" x 13"
- 3. Margin top 0.75, below 0.75, right and left margin 0.5
- 4. Font Size/Style

14 font-size; font-style: Century Gothic Grades 1 - 3:

12 font-size; font-style: Arial Grade 4: Grades 5 - 6: 11 font-size; font-style: Arial

Grades 7 - 12: 11 font-size; font-style: Arial

- 5. Use single space after each item for better readability.
- 6. Use single column for the choices:

One column for longer choices (phrases, sentences, and photos or graphics). Choices must be arranged in any of the following format:

Alphabetical length (shorter to longer or vice versa)

Ascending and descending/ Lesser to Greater or vice versa for figures

- 7. Use capital letters for the choices.
- 8. Ensure consistency and parallelism in the structure and layout of the choices and stems.
- 9. When blanks (\_\_\_\_\_) are used, they must be of the same length to ensure uniformity and to avoid giving clues to the learners.
- 10. Here is a sample format for the header:



### Department of Education

SCHOOLS DIVISION OFFICE OF PAMPANGA

FIRST QUARTER EXAMINATION IN MATHEMATICS 7 School Year 2025-2026

Date Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Grade & Section:







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

Telephone No.: (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 Email Address: pampanga@deped.gov.ph



### Department of Education

### REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 4 to Division Memorandum No. \_\_\_, s. 2025

#### PRESCRIBED FORMAT FOR THE TABLE OF SPECIFICATIONS (TOS)

					Bloom	's Tax	onom	y (Cogn	itive L	evel)
					Easy (30%)		Average		Difficult (10%)	
							(0)	0%)	(10	%)
No.	Learning Competencies	No. of Days Taught	Percentage (%)	No. of Items	Remembering	Understanding	Applying	Analyzing	Evaluating	Creating
1										
2										
3										
4										
5		The second secon								
6										
7										
8										
9										
10										
	Total									







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

**Telephone No.:** (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



### Department of Education

### REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 5 to Division Memorandum No. \_\_\_, s. 2025

### CHECKLIST FOR THE EVALUATION OF TEACHER-MADE QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS

**Directions:** Please put a check mark  $(\checkmark)$  on the column that corresponds to your observation, review, or evaluation. Indicate your action taken on the Non-Evident Items under the Remarks column.

ITEMS	EVIDENT	NOT EVIDENT	REMARKS
A. General Item Writing (Procedural)			
1. The teacher prepared the Table of			
Specifications (TOS) before writing the test items.			
2. The TOS was a two-way grid and followed the			
prescribed format shared by the division.			
3. The test items adhered to the 30-60-10 rule			
(30% easy, 60% average, and 10% difficult).			
4. The teacher used either the "best answer" or			
"correct answer" format.			
5. The teacher avoided complex multiple-choice			
formats (Type K) such as "A and D," "A and C," "All			
of the above," "None of the above," and "A, B, and			
C."			
6. The items were formatted vertically, not			
horizontally.			
7. The items were constructed with consistent			
attention to good grammar, punctuation, and			
spelling.			
8. The teacher minimized examinee reading time			
by carefully phrasing each item.			
9. The teacher avoided tricky items that might			
mislead or deceive examinees into answering			
incorrectly.			
B. General Item Writing (Content Concerns)			
1. Based on the Most Essential Learning			
Competencies of the K to 12 Curriculum.	-		
2. Focused on a single problem.			
3. Kept the vocabulary consistent with the			
examinees' level of understanding.			
4. Avoided cuing one item with another and			
ensured that items were independent of each			
other.			
5. Used the author's examples as a basis for			
developing items.			
6. Avoided textbook and verbatim phrasing when			
developing items.			







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)



### Department of Education

REGION III
SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

7. Avoided items based on opinions. 8. Used multiple-choice questions to measure higher-level thinking. 9. Tested for significant material and avoided trivial material.  C. Stem Construction  1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form.  2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem.  3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.  3. Avoided technically phrased distractors.				
higher-level thinking.  9. Tested for significant material and avoided trivial material.  C. Stem Construction  1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form.  2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem.  3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	7. Avoided items based on opinions.			
9. Tested for significant material and avoided trivial material.  C. Stem Construction  1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form.  2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem.  3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	8. Used multiple-choice questions to measure			
C. Stem Construction  1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form.  2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem.  3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  1. Positioned the correct option bevelopment  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	higher-level thinking.			
C. Stem Construction  1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form.  2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem.  3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	9. Tested for significant material and avoided			
1. Stated the stem in either question or completion form.  2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem.  3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.	trivial material.			
2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem.  3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.	C. Stem Construction			
2. When using the completion format, did not leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem.  3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.	1. Stated the stem in either question of			
leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem.  3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	completion form.			
leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the stem.  3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	2. When using the completion format, did not			
3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	leave a blank at the beginning or middle of the			
clear and that the wording lets the examinee know exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	stem			
exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	3. Ensured that the directions in the stem are			
exactly what is being asked.  4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	clear and that the wording lets the examinee know			
4. Worded the stem positively and avoided negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	exactly what is being asked.			
negative phrasing.  5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	4. Worded the stem positively and avoided			
5. Included the central idea and most of the phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	negative phrasing.			
phrasing in the stem.  D. General Option Development  1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	5. Included the central idea and most of the		The second secon	
1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	phrasing in the stem.			
1. Used as many options as feasible; more options are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	D. General Option Development		-	
are desirable.  2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct options on that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	1. Used as many options as feasible; more options			
2. Placed options in logical or numerical order.  3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	are desirable.			
3. Kept options independent; they should not overlap.  4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	2 Placed options in logical or numerical order.			
4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	3 Kept options independent; they should not			V
4. Ensured all options in an item are homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.				
homogeneous in content.  5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.				
5. Maintained a fairly consistent length for all options.  6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	homogeneous in content.			
6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	5 Maintained a fairly consistent length for all			
6. Phrased options positively, not negatively.  7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.				
7. Avoided distractors that could give clues to test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	6 Phrased options positively, not negatively.			
test-wise examinees, such as clang associations, absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	7 Avoided distractors that could give clues to			
absurd options, formal prompts, or semantic clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	test wise examinees, such as clang associations,			
clues (overly specific or overly general).  8. Avoided giving clues through faulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	about ontions formal prompts, or semantic			
8. Avoided giving clues through laulty grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	absurd options, former prosper,			
grammatical construction.  9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	2 Avoided giving clues through faulty			
9. Avoided using specific determiners such as "never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.				
"never" and "always."  E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	O Avoided using specific determiners such as			
E. Correct Option Development  1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	". Avoided using specific determined			
1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	Thever and always.			
about the same number of times for each possible position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	1. Paritimed the correct ention so that it annears			
position for a set of items.  2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	1. Positioned the correct option so that it appears			
2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.  F. Distractor Development  1. Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	about the same number of times for each possess			
T. Distractor Development     Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.      Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	position for a set of ficins.			
Used plausible distractors; avoided illogical distractors.      Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	2. Ensured that there is only one correct option.			
distractors.  2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.	F. Distractor Development			
2. Incorporated common errors made by students into distractors.			Signature of the Control of the Cont	
into distractors.	distractors.	+		
into distractors.  3. Avoided technically phrased distractors.				
3. Avoided technically phrased distractors.	into distractors.	1		
	3. Avoided technically phrased distractors.			







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)



#### Department of Education

### REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

4.	Used	familiar	yet	incorrect	phrases	as		
dis	tractor	S.						
			ment	s that do	not corre	ctly		
an	swer th	e item.						

Note: This checklist was adapted from item writing guidelines (nova.edu).

Reviewed and evaluated by:
District 1 November 1 Circumstance
Printed Name and Signature
Designation (School Head, Head Teacher, or Master Teacher)
Date
Conforme:
Signature Over the Printed Name of the Teacher







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)



#### Department of Education

### REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

Enclosure No. 6 to Division Memorandum No. \_\_\_, s. 2025

Testing Program: **FIRST QUARTER EXAMINATIONS** 

## MONITORING TOOL FOR THE ADMINISTRATION OF THE PRE-TEST AND POST-TEST ASSESSMENTS AND QUARTERLY EXAMINATIONS FOR SY 2025-2026

Grade Level	То	tal No			tual T		who the I		t take uarter	Reasons for notaking the First Quarter Examination
	M	F	T	M	F	Т	M	F	T	
Grade 1										
Grade 2										
Grade 3										
Grade 4										
Grade 5										
Grade 6										
Grade 7										
Grade 8										
Grade 9										
Grade 10										
~ 1 11			-			-				

Legend: M-Male, F-Female, T-Total

Grade 12

#### **Issues and Concerns**

#### A. Assessment Tools

Issues and Concerns	Action Taken

#### B. Printing and Reproduction of Assessment Tools

Issues and Concerns	Action Taken



School:





Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)

**Telephone No.:** (045) 435-2728; (045) 435-7404 **Email Address:** pampanga@deped.gov.ph



### Department of Education

### REGION III SCHOOLS DIVISION OF PAMPANGA

C.	Actual	Conduct	of th	e First	Ouarter	Examination
----	--------	---------	-------	---------	---------	-------------

Issues and Concerns	Action Taken
Comments/Suggestions/Recommendations	s to improve the test administration:
commence, suggestions, recommendations	to improve the test dammistration.
4.25	
Monitored by:	
Printed Name and Signature of the Monitor	ring Official
Timod Name and Dignature of the Monton	nig Oniciai
Designation	
Date	
Date	
Conforme:	
Signature Over the Printed Name of the Sc	hool Head
organizate over the rinited maine of the Sc.	noor reau







Address: High School Blvd., Brgy. Lourdes, City of San Fernando (P)